

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Screening Committee constituted for the purpose of the screening of application form of candidates who have applied for the post of **Director, HRDC** has revealed the following observations:

Sl. No.	Précis No.	Name of Applicant	Eligible (Yes/No)	Remarks
1.	1	MO WAHID ALI WARISH	NO	1. Lack of requisite experience 2. Proof not enclosed in respect of his claim 3. Hard copy of the duly signed filled in application not received
2.	2	DR. POORNIMA VARMA LATE SH. T. R. VARMA	NO	Does not having fulfilled the criteria of minimum of 10 years of teaching experience in University / College as Assistant Professor / Associate Professor / Professor, and or research experience at equivalent level in terms of the provisions contained in clause 10.0 of UGC Regulations on Minimum Qualifications for Appointment of Teachers and other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and Measures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education, 2018. (Published in Gazette of India on the 18 July, 2018)
3.	3	LAXMI PANDEY SURAJ NATH PANDEY	NO	Does not having fulfilled the criteria of minimum of 10 years of teaching experience in University / College as Assistant Professor / Associate Professor / Professor, and or research experience at equivalent level in terms of the provisions contained in clause 10.0 of UGC Regulations on Minimum Qualifications for Appointment of Teachers and other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and Measures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education, 2018. (Published in Gazette of India on the 18 July, 2018)
4.	4	YOGENDRA PRATAP SINGH GOPAL SINGH	NO	Does not having fulfilled the criteria of minimum of 10 years of teaching experience in University / College as Assistant Professor / Associate Professor / Professor, and or research experience at equivalent level in terms of the provisions contained in clause 10.0 of UGC Regulations on Minimum Qualifications for Appointment of Teachers and other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and Measures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education, 2018. (Published in Gazette of India on the 18 July, 2018)
5.	5	DHRUV KUMAR DWIVEDI SANGAM LAL SHARMA	NO	No evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate and as such does not fulfil the essential qualification as prescribed in the advertisement
6.	6	AVDHESH KUMAR JHA SHRINARAYAN JHA	NO	No evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate and as such does not fulfil the essential qualification as prescribed in the advertisement

Sl. No.	Précis No.	Name of Applicant	Eligible (Yes/No)	Remarks
7.	7	SURYA NARAYAN GAUTAM RAMSAJIVAN GAUTAM	NO	Does not having fulfilled the criteria of minimum of 10 years of teaching experience in University / College as Assistant Professor / Associate Professor / Professor, and or research experience at equivalent level in terms of the provisions contained in clause 10.0 of UGC Regulations on Minimum Qualifications for Appointment of Teachers and other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and Measures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education, 2018. (Published in Gazette of India on the 18 July, 2018)
8.	8	SHOBHA AHI SACHIN DUBEY	NO	Does not having fulfilled the criteria of minimum of 10 years of teaching experience in University / College as Assistant Professor / Associate Professor / Professor, and or research experience at equivalent level in terms of the provisions contained in clause 10.0 of UGC Regulations on Minimum Qualifications for Appointment of Teachers and other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and Measures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education, 2018. (Published in Gazette of India on the 18 July, 2018)
9.	9	UGRASEN NONE PANDEY KEWALA PRASAD	NO	No evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate and as such does not fulfil the essential qualification as prescribed in the advertisement
10.	10	KAUSTUBH NARAYAN MISRA JAGDISH NARAYAN MISRA	NO	No evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate and as such does not fulfil the essential qualification as prescribed in the advertisement
11.	11	RAJABHAU TUKARAM BEDRE TUKARAM	YES	Verified Academic /Research Score 260 1. Subject to production of evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate in support of his claim. 2. and hard copy of duly signed filled up online application at the time of interview
12.	12	AKHLESH KUMAR JAIN ASHOK KUMAR JAIN	NO	Lack of requisite experience
13.	13	SHASHI KANT PANDEY AWADHESH PRASAD PANDEY	NO	No evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate and as such does not fulfil the essential qualification as prescribed in the advertisement
14.	14	RAJESH KUMAR DUBEY KAMALAKAR DUBEY	NO	1. Lack of requisite experience 2. No evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate and as such does not fulfil the essential qualification as prescribed in the advertisement
15.	15	DR SUNIL SINGH BAGHEL MOTI SINGH	NO	No evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate and as such does not fulfil the essential qualification as prescribed in the advertisement

Sl. No.	Précis No.	Name of Applicant	Eligible (Yes/No)	Remarks
16.	16	KANHAIYA TRIPATHI SITARAM TRIPATHI	NO	1. Lack of requisite experience 2. No evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate and as such does not fulfil the essential qualification as prescribed in the advertisement
17.	17	SHAKTI PRAKASH LATE SHRI RAMESHWAR PRASAD	YES	Verified Academic /Research Score 246
18.	18	PRAKASH JOSHI DR. BALA DUTT JOSHI	YES	Verified Academic / Research Score 240
19.	19	SATANAND MISHRA GAIVI NATH MISHRA	NO	No evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate and as such does not fulfil the essential qualification as prescribed in the advertisement
20.	20	DEVENDRA KUMAR PANDEY RAJESHWAR KUMAR PANDEY	NO	1. Lack of requisite experience 2. No evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate and as such does not fulfil the essential qualification as prescribed in the advertisement
21.	21	DR JAI PRAKASH SHARMA I P SHARMA	NO	No evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate and as such does not fulfil the essential qualification as prescribed in the advertisement
22.	22	MEGHA DAS RATNESH DAS	NO	1. Lack of requisite experience 2. No evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate and as such does not fulfil the essential qualification as prescribed in the advertisement

The Screening Committee has found, **03 candidates** fulfil the eligibility criteria, as per the University advertisement No. R/A-NT/2019/001 dated 01/03/2019.

Sl. No.	Name of Candidates	Remarks, if any
1.	RAJABHAU TUKARAM BEDRE TUKARAM	Verified Academic /Research Score 260 1. Subject to production of evidence of having successfully guided doctoral candidate in support of his claim. 2. and hard copy of duly signed filled up online application at the time of interview
2.	SHAKTI PRAKASH LATE SHRI RAMESHWAR PRASAD	Verified Academic /Research Score 246
3.	PRAKASH JOSHI DR. BALA DUTT JOSHI	Verified Academic / Research Score 240

Note: All the genuine queries/observations of the applicants will be entertained within a period of **FIVE (05)** days from the date of uploading of the minutes on the Vishwavidyalaya Website.